


Nicki Minaj was right and all the world's COVID vaccine experts were wrong



SteveKirsch
September 16, 2021

31 Comments



Opinion Editorial By: Steve Kirsch

Note that views expressed in this opinion article are the writer's personal views and not necessarily those of TrialSite. This article is currently FREE to read and SHARE without paying.

Nicki Minaj made a tweet about a friend of her cousin who got vaccinated and had orchitis (swelling of the testicles) afterwards.



... was globally mocked for this tweet by COVID vaccine experts and the mainstream media from around the world. As far as I could tell, not a single medical expert supported her position. Nobody in a position of authority came to her rescue. Nobody.

It turns out Nicki was absolutely right. And all the world's authorities and medical experts were incorrect (aka FOS).

This is known as an "inconvenient truth."

I posted the scientific evidence on Nicki's Twitter feed: the VAERS results, the Fisher Exact tests. Twitter blocked my main tweet (with all the sub tweets) shortly after I posted it so no one would learn the truth.

So I decided to write this article for TrialSiteNews so that everyone can decide for themselves who to believe.

Misinformation and VAERS

But first, let's get a few housekeeping issues out of the way about me and about the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), the reporting system relied upon by the FDA and CDC to track adverse events.

I am not a medical expert. I am just an engineer from MIT who graduated in 1980 with a couple of degrees. I understand science, math, and statistics. I have no conflicts of interest. I have no history of giving out medical misinformation.

I'm also knowledgeable about the VAERS system. When you have an adverse event that you report to the V-SAFE application, you are directed to VAERS to report it. This doesn't work so well if you are dead. This is why deaths are somewhat under-reported.

I've written articles showing that the VAERS data shows that over 150,000 deaths are due to the vaccine. But people dismiss that and cite the CDC disclaimer about VAERS. The CDC disclaimer

comparable analysis (with 6 different independent approaches) that all converge on a different number. They just claim my number is wrong. How can they know I'm wrong if they don't have the "correct" analysis??

The CDC disclaimer is wrong on so many fronts. My favorite article on the lunacy of believing that CDC disclaimer is If Vaccine Adverse Events Tracking Systems Do Not Support Causal Inference, then "Pharmacovigilance" Does Not Exist.

To counter the CDC disclaimer, I offered to bet anyone \$1M that there are over 20,000 deaths vs. under 500 deaths. I'd have bet a higher number, but nobody believes that even 20,000 deaths is remotely possible so 20,000 is sufficient to prove my point.

I discovered that nobody would bet me. **Which tells us all that nobody in the world strongly believes that there are <500 deaths from the vaccine, because if they truly were confident of that, then this is a quick way to make \$1M for a few hours of work.** The CDC still says there are NO deaths caused by the vaccine. Just 500 deaths would stop the vaccine; it was around 50 deaths in 1976 when they halted the H1N1 vaccine nationwide.

Unfortunately, people think the CDC is correct in their VAERS disclaimer. So they attack me as being incorrect. The FDA dismisses my analysis with the hand-waving argument that they disagree with me and there is nothing further to discuss. This is precisely why they never see a safety signal in VAERS: the FDA and CDC will ignore any rational person who challenges their set of (incorrect) beliefs.

It isn't just me they won't talk to; it is all of the VAERS experts, statisticians, doctors, and medical scientists that I confer with who all would love to challenge the false narrative. See the [list at the end of this document](#).

If you are looking for a safety signal and have found nothing, why aren't the CDC and FDA interested in what we have to say?

Another way is a public debate. Truth tellers LOVE recorded public debates. Liars hate that and depend on censorship. Sound familiar? Censorship also takes the form of not running my op-eds, doing hit pieces on me, defaming me in Wikipedia, and making sure all reporters who support my position have their stories killed.

None of the “experts” who attack me will ever agree to a neutral public debate about this because they will be exposed as pushing an unsafe vaccine. There is no way they can explain all the evidence that is out there. The evidence is consistent with my hypothesis, not theirs.

Any open debate on vaccine safety would completely obliterate the narrative that the vaccines are safe and effective. That’s why the White House uses censorship as their weapon against people like me who are trying to tell the truth.

And just like they are unfairly attacking me, they are also unfairly attacking Nicki Minaj who did nothing more than tell the truth. They should all be ashamed of their behavior.

The “experts” all aligned to discredit Nicki

Here’s the Trinidad expert criticizing Nicki.



Here's Sanjay Gupta laughing at Nicki Minaj:



Sanjay simply stated the vaccines don't cause swollen testicles. That's it. No evidence. Basically Sanjay is making this stuff up out of thin air. This is irresponsible medical journalism at its finest. Listen to him. He cites no evidence. He simply says the vaccines don't cause this. That's opposite to what the primary evidence (VAERS) says. Doesn't seem to matter to him.

Nicki Minaj said Covid vaccine could make you impotent. Fauci shut her down.

"There's no evidence that it happens, nor is there any mechanistic reason to imagine that it would happen," Dr. Anthony Fauci said.




Fauci couldn't resist commenting. He's full of shit. "No evidence that it happens." Are you kidding me? He's a buffoon. **He never even looked for the evidence that was in plain sight the entire time.** This is how all this misinformation happens.

Here's what I mean by hiding in "plain sight"... a web page summarizing all this that anyone can load:

VAERS COVID Vaccine Reproductive Health Related Reports

Through September 03, 2021



SYMPTOM	CASES
Miscarriage	1,757
Menstrual Disorders	9,732
Vaginal/Uterine Haemorrhage (All Ages)	3,334

SYMPTOM	CASES
Testicular Pain/Swelling	766
Erectile Dysfunction	171

It's right there. There are line items for miscarriage, testicular pain/swelling. All the stuff Fauci said the vaccine didn't cause... it's all there in plain sight: miscarriages, menstrual disorders, testicular pain/swelling, erectile dysfunction, vaginal/uterine hemorrhage, etc.

30,000 women have reported period disruption after getting a Covid j...
The issues including an irregular menstrual cycle and painful periods
were reported in the UK up to September 2. Most women said their ...
[dailymail.co.uk](https://www.dailymail.co.uk)



If Fauci really wants to stop the medical misinformation, all he has to do is stop talking. Simple. Effective. Problem solved.

Comedians chimed in their expertise in the vaccine field:



The New York Times

Late Night Takes Lots of
Jabs at Nicki Minaj

5 hours ago



AV CLUB

Stephen Colbert can't
help but bat around Nicki
Minaj's errant, anti-
vaxxer ball tweet

53 mins ago



...and more than a single reported case of someone becoming
following Covid vaccine shots in Trinidad and health officials weren't aware of
any cases "anywhere else in the world."

- In an Instagram post, the rapper said she was put in "Twitter jail" Wednesday evening, despite the company previously telling media outlets that her tweets did not violate their policies.

Basically, these experts ignore all the negative data that doesn't fit their agenda. And they gang up on anyone who has the courage to speak the truth to silence and ridicule them.

And Congress enables all this by doing absolutely nothing to stop the censorship.

All the evidence shows that all the experts were wrong and Nicki is right

Instead of people issuing opinions on whether vaccines cause orchitis or not, isn't it time for us to look at the scientific data?

Did anyone produce any evidence that her cousin's friend was lying? Nope.

That's our first clue that she's telling the truth.

So I did a full investigation in VAERS and posted the result. But because it started heavily trending.

Age	Count	Percent	Age	Count	Percent
7-44 Years	18	26.13%	4-3 Years	5	13.89%
4-65 Years	23	35.94%	3-6 Years	1	2.78%
6-75 Years	14	21.88%	9-12 Years	2	5.56%
75+ Years	3	4.69%	13-17 Years	5	13.89%
Unknown	6	9.38%	17-44 Years	8	22.22%
TOTAL	64	100%	44-65 Years	8	22.22%
			65-75 Years	4	11.11%
			75+ Years	2	5.56%
			Unknown	1	2.78%
			TOTAL	36	100%



This Tweet is misleading. Find out why health officials consider COVID-19 vaccines safe for most people.

Through censorship, Twitter is basically preventing the spread of legitimate scientific data so that NOBODY WILL EVER FIND OUT the truth.

There was nothing misleading at all about the tweet. It was 100% factual. But you cannot argue with the Twitter censors. They are ALWAYS right and there is no appeal.

Truth about the vaccine must be censored because if it wasn't censored, they wouldn't be able to get anyone to take it. Censorship is hugely important, especially on social media platforms. When you have a product that is so unsafe that anyone informed wouldn't take it, you can't do it without censorship help.

Suppressing the truth is bad enough, but then you are given a very biased statement that fails to

So, with that out the way, let's get into the data.

An impossible anecdote

First we have a really interesting anecdote from one of my Twitter followers who saw my post. I just talked to him on the phone. It's legit. There were 10 kids in the group:



So this is statistically IMPOSSIBLE (i.e., "highly unlikely to ever happen in your lifetime") if the

previous work. This is very conservative. The article also discusses the propensity to report and that allows us to compare previous years with this year with a correction factor (we are not trying to get a super accurate answer but just get in the ballpark).

Now we run some VAERS comparative analysis between what gets reported in a typical year vs. this year.

The second analysis was over 10 years (all vaccines). $64/3.6$ is a 17.7X higher incidence rate than for a typical vaccine side effect. That's clearly an elevated condition.

If we multiply each event by the URF of 41, we can then do a test for statistical significance over 200M people and that easily passes:

But 15% fewer people get the second dose, and the vast majority of the people who skip the second dose are those who either (1) had a bad reaction to the first dose or (2) saw their friends having a bad reaction and decided to skip it (the slide showing this is midway in the deck). So the number should be 15% lower on the second dose reports. It isn't. So there is dose dependency here as well, likely that people after the second dose reacted so badly they didn't come back for a second dose.

So if we refer to the original Pfizer data, and look for the heart on page 16 and the testes on page 17.

